

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,212	11/14/2005	Paul Bernard Newman	P08571US00/DEJ	5549
881 7590 03/04/2009 STITES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHAWLA, JYOTI	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/525,212 NEWMAN, PAUL BERNARD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JYOTI CHAWLA 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5 and 7, drawn to method of treating meat in an atmosphere comprising CO₂.

Group II, claim(s) 6, drawn to method of decontaminating processing equipment with CO₂ and or UV radiation.

Group III, claim(s) 8, drawn to apparatus for treating meat in an atmosphere comprising CO_2 .

The inventions listed as **Group I** [claims 1-5 and 7] **Group II** [Claim 6] and **Group III** [claim 8] do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature (atmosphere comprising CO_2) of claim 1, 6 and 8 is not novel. US 4522835 to Woodruff et al teaches packaging meat in an atmosphere comprising CO_2 . The CO_2 as taught by Woodruff inhibits growth of slime and odor-producing organisms (Column 3, lines 5-15). Therefore, the special feature linking the inventions was known in the art at the time of the invention.

Therefore, the inventions as recited do not relate to a single general *inventive* concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1794

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of **Group I** and **Group II** are different because **Group II** [claim 6] addresses a different problem of decontamination of meat processing equipment using CO_2 atmosphere with UV radiation. Utilizing UV radiation offers an alternate solution to the problem of decontamination. Further, UV radiation to decontaminate foods etc was also known as taught by IDS reference Rosenthal (US 6010727).

Inventions of **Group II** and **Group III** are different because Group II [claim 6] addresses a method decontamination of meat processing equipment using CO₂ atmosphere with UV radiation, {which was known (Rosenthal)} which is not required by group III. Group III [claim 8] is addressed to the apparatus for meat treatment which includes source of CO₂ wherein packaging of meat in an atmosphere comprising CO₂ {which was known (Woodruff)}, wherein the apparatus as recited in Group III does not require the UV radiation equipment to be part of the apparatus setup for decontamination of the meat processing equipment as required by Group II.

Inventions of **Group I** and **Group III** are different because **Group I** recites a process of treating meat in an atmosphere comprising CO₂, which was known at the time of invention (Woodruff), i.e., processing meat in an atmosphere comprising CO₂ does not necessarily require the apparatus of **Group III** [claim 8].

Thus the inventions of Groups I, II and III as recited are distinct from each other for the reasons stated above and do not relate to a single general *inventive* concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1794

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Douglas Jackson on 2/20/09 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTI CHAWLA whose telephone number is (571)272-8212. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JC/ Examiner Art Unit 1794

/JENNIFER MONEIL/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794